

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,872	04/02/2002	Jean-Pierre Blareau	33339/242251	8072
826 ALSTON & B	7590 04/24/200 IRD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			DAVIS, RUTH A	
	RYON STREET, SUIT E, NC 28280-4000	E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/019,872	BLAREAU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	····
Ruth A. Davis	1651	

· .	Ruth A. Davis	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ad-	dross
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			ai e33
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior t			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continue periods:	ne following replies: (1) an amend 2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea	lment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31: or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mail	ling date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the dat expire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either bo TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	x (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V MPEP 706 07/f)	VHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	the date on which the petition under 3 od of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for fice later than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The approp	riate extension fee
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief if filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or at a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejo	ection, but prior to the date of filir	ng a brief will not be entered t	necause
(a) They raise new issues that would require fur (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	Decause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	-	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 C	FR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.121. See attached Notice of	f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): 112,2 of record.		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	t(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or I is provided below or appended.	b)  will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-10,12-13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14 and 15.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	tion, but before or on the date of ood and sufficient reasons why th	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> ne affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is ne	led to overcome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	lanation of the status of the claim	is after entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been consider	ered but does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		_
13.			$\mathcal{M}$
• ·		Ruth A. Davis	

Primary Examiner Y Art Unit: 1651

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

**Application No. 10/019,872** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims recite a new limitation wherein the milk product does not contain live, viable bififobacteria. Since this limitation has not been previously searched, a new search is required.